

Minates

## August 9, 2016 Council Chambers – Lower Level 57 East 1<sup>st</sup> Street 4:30 PM

A work session of the Design Review Board was held at the City of Mesa Council Chamber – Lower Level, 57 East 1<sup>st</sup> Street at 4:30 p.m.

**Board Members Present:** 

**Board Members Absent:** 

Eric Paul

Sean Banda – Chair Tracy Roedel – Vice Chair Taylor Candland Brian Sandstrom Randy Carter Nicole Thompson

Staff Present:

Andrew Spurgin Tom Ellsworth Kim Steadman Lesley Davis Mia Lozano Rebecca Gorton **Others Present:** 

Toby Rogers

Chair Banda welcomed everyone to the Work Session at 4:30 p.m.

A. <u>Discuss and Provide Direction Regarding Design Review cases:</u>

### Item A.1. DR16-011 Expansion of A.T. Still

**LOCATION/ADDRESS:** 5850 – 5959 E. Still Circle

**REQUEST:** Review of an academic building and parking garage

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2

**OWNER:** Kirksville College of Osteopathic Medicine IN

**APPLICANT:** Toby Rogers, Butler Design Group

ARCHITECT: Jeffrey Cutberth
STAFF PLANNER: Andrew Spurgin, AICP

## Continued from the July 12, 2016 meeting

**Discussion:** Andrew Spurgin, AICP presented the Board with an overview of the updates to the project. Applicant Toby Rogers, Butler Design Group, reviewed the changes that were made to the design from comments that the Board made at the last meeting.

## Boardmember Thompson

- Suggested color change on panels based on the material board
- Suggested addition of random panels to break up monotony
- Suggested toning down the long linear piece of brow
- Feels that changing angles and material of panels occasionally will help

Applicant responded that the angle of panels and type of perforated openings could change.

#### Boardmember Sandstrom

- Feels that there needs to be a theme to tie back to rest of campus
- Feels that there is no consistency
- Feels that the garage elevation seems arbitrary and not connected to campus
- Stated he likes the corner piece but the middle just sort of runs on
- Suggested use of backlit LED on the corners with a soft glow and not washing it with light

#### Boardmember Carter

- Inquired about the white panels on the west elevation of garage. Applicant responded with explanation of placing identity graphics on garage
- Stated that if Board of Adjustment does not approve signage, the project needs to come back to DR

#### Vice Chair Roedel

Feels that the middle of the east elevation of garage is haphazard

#### Chair Banda

- Feels that the elevations are better than last time
- Likes the perforated materials and accent corners
- Inquired about lighting and suggested soft lighting on corners to light up the garage
- Suggested carrying sandstone element to east side

Staff will work with applicant to finalize design.

Item A.2. DR16-020 Avilla Lehi

**LOCATION/ADDRESS:** 3400 – 3600 block of East Thomas Road

**REQUEST:** Review of a multi-residence

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

**OWNER:** Rodney and Janelle Engel

APPLICANT: Brennan Ray, Burch & Cracchiolo, PA ARCHITECT: Dave Soltysik, Terrascape Consulting

**STAFF PLANNER:** Kim Steadman

Continuance to September 13, 2016 Meeting

Item A.3. DR16-021 Saranac Offices

**LOCATION/ADDRESS:** 5253 S. Saranac

**REQUEST:** Review of an office building

**COUNCIL DISTRICT**: 6

OWNER: Granite Investments, LLC APPLICANT: Design Professionals, LLC

ARCHITECT: John C. Manross STAFF PLANNER: Lesley Davis

**Discussion:** Staff member Lesley Davis presented the Board with an overview of the project and stated that the site is located behind existing buildings and lacks visibility from the street. Ms. Davis stated that the project is here before the Board because of the size of the building and not the location. The only change made by the applicant from the last meeting are the use of different materials and the colors remain the same.

#### Chair Banda

- Feels that the center element read a lot better than the previous elevation especially with glass carrying through
- Suggested it still need to bookend buildings
- Stated he is not a fan of the scored block
- Suggested carrying awnings all the way across
- Feels masonry over stocked stone could be better
- Feels the color has a good feeling
- Stated that the Board comments were not addressed
- Stated they addressed center element well but the main part of the building does not read well

### Vice Chair Roedel

Clarified it is stacked stone

### Boardmember Thompson

- Stated that previous comments still apply.
- Feels that the materials are not good
- Stated she likes color on the material board
- Stated she does not like synthetic stone

#### Boardmember Sandstrom

- Feels that each side entry reads like a parking garage without the awnings
- Feels that the date palms work because they break up un-bookended feel
- Stated the design has to think of area and a design that works
- Suggested that masonry be used and pulled up and wrap the top of the tower
- Suggested adding more mass

- Suggested bringing depth and significance to the building
- Articulate the perimeter
- Looks like spandrel panels in a parking garage.

## Boardmember Carter

- Stated that this Board has the ability to improve the architecture in Mesa
- Stated this design does not meet BIZ requirements
- Inquired why awnings are on 2<sup>nd</sup> floor and feels high awnings will not help

The Board requested that the project be back to the Board for review with the changes discussed.

Item A.4. DR16-022 Red Mountain Business Park

LOCATION/ADDRESS: 3410 N. Higley

**REQUEST:** Review of a warehouse

**COUNCIL DISTRICT**: 5

OWNER: Higley Land Investments, LLC APPLICANT: Corey Smith, DCSJ, LLC

ARCHITECT: Don Christensen STAFF PLANNER: Tom Ellsworth

**Discussion:** Staff member Tom Ellsworth presented the Board with an overview of the project.

### Chair Banda

- Suggested applicant consider aspects of the Dexcom building at Dobson and Broadway and US60 and Horne
- Suggested adding texture in the panel but not overdoing it
- Suggested texture in the recessed elements and not in pop outs
- Likes the banding
- Suggested use of precast with waves with form liner

### **Boardmember Carter**

- Feels that the colors are very detracting particularly the avocado color
- Suggested cultured stone on board might help
- Feels it needs a texture change and not stone
- Agreed that the avocado needed to be warmer

### Boardmember Thompson

- Suggested cobble field stone be lighter
- Applicant clarified that it was removed and is all tilt up slab now
- Inquired if there is a way the center element can be made one. Applicant clarified there are two elements on top of panels
- Suggested to lighten the avocado color

### Boardmember Sandstrom

- Likes the change in texture used on the project at US60 and Horne
- Stated the project at Dobson and Broadway is successful because of use of colors

## Boardmember Candland

 Suggested using top caps to the sides for clarification similar to the US60 and Horne project

Staff will work with applicant to finalize design.

Item A.5. DR16-023 Raising Cane's Restaurant with Drive-thru

LOCATION/ADDRESS: 1930 S. Greenfield

**REQUEST:** Review of a rebuild for a restaurant with drive-thru

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2

OWNER: Midfirst Bank
APPLICANT: Cameron Miller
ARCHITECT: Duncan J. Chang
STAFF PLANNER: Kim Steadman

**Discussion:** Staff member Kim Steadman presented the Board with an overview of the project.

#### Chair Banda

- Stated that the arch looks like it was cut off making it feel incomplete
- Feels it does not look right without the sign
- · Suggested it needs an overhang
- Suggested the arch is too flush with facade
- Feels that the facade only works with signage or mural on the east elevation

#### Boardmember Carter

- Stated the pitched roofs do not belong
- Feels that the form is not bad, it just needs work

### Boardmember Thompson

- Inquired if this is a new prototype
- Inquired if the drive-thru is covered
- Stated she likes the entry
- Clarified the color on canopies
- Suggested bringing the back tower element from other location and thicken up fascia cap on arch

## **Boardmember Sandstrom**

- Stated he does not like the arch
- Feels the signage is most exciting part of the exterior design
- Suggested to thicken it up and then pull it out so it overhangs like an awning

#### Boardmember Candland

Feels the addition of art to east side helps

Staff will work with applicant to finalize design.

Item A.6. DR16-024 Burger King Restaurant with Drive-thru

**LOCATION/ADDRESS:** 10726 E. Guadalupe

**REQUEST:** Review of a restaurant with drive-thru

**COUNCIL DISTRICT**: 6

OWNER: Barnett Management Company APPLICANT: Jackie Miller, One Architecture

**ARCHITECT:** Brad Flahiff, Barnett Management Company

**STAFF PLANNER:** Kim Steadman

**Discussion:** Staff member Kim Steadman presented the Board with an overview of the project.

#### Chair Banda

- Feels that the parapet roofing does not fall in line with the craftsman style
- Feels it is not reminiscent of craftsman
- Suggested to thicken up patio cover to be more like drive thru canopy
- Stated Craftsman has variety of colors
- Stated the nearby Fry's color palette reads well
- Feels the rendering does not read to color boards
- Feels it lacks bulkiness of craftsman
- Suggested using a mix of colors and not have all browns
- Suggested the tower element needs corbels

### **Boardmember Carter**

- Feels that the roof slope on canopy is proportionally wrong and too shallow
- Suggested addition of something decorative on the windows
- Suggested a header or something
- Feels that the entry looks foreign to craftsman
- Feels that the drive-thru is successful and may be an option for other areas of the building

## Boardmember Thompson

- Suggested more colors and textures from rest of the center
- Suggested changing the wainscoting

#### **Boardmember Sandstrom**

- Feels that the colors need clarification
- Suggested working on proportioning
- Suggested it needs more color.
- Suggested the tower element needs to come out from wall

Staff will work with applicant to finalize design.

Item A.7. DR16-025 Light Rail Station

LOCATION/ADDRESS: 1235 E. Main Street

**REQUEST:** Review for the future Light Rail Station

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 4

**OWNER:** Jay Yenerich, Valley Metro

APPLICANT: Andrew Haines, Jacobs Engineering ARCHITECT: Dan Marinaro, Wendell Companies

**STAFF PLANNER:** Wahid Alam, AICP

**Continuance to September 13, 2016 Meeting** 

Item A.8. DR16-026 Light Rail Station

LOCATION/ADDRESS: 1859 E. Main Street

**REQUEST:** Review for the future Light Rail Station

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 4

**OWNER:** Jay Yenerich, Valley Metro

APPLICANT: Andrew Haines, Jacobs Engineering ARCHITECT: Dan Marinaro, Wendell Companies

**STAFF PLANNER:** Wahid Alam, AICP

**Continuance to September 13, 2016 Meeting** 

Item A.9. DR16-027 Retail Stores

**LOCATION/ADDRESS:** 4425 S. Mountain Road Review of future retail stores

**COUNCIL DISTRICT:** 

OWNER: Mountain Warner, LLC

**APPLICANT:** Lesley L. Partch, Functional Formation Architecture Lesley L. Partch, Functional Formation Architecture

STAFF PLANNER: Misa Lozano

**Discussion:** Staff member Kim Steadman presented the Board with an overview of the project.

### Chair Banda

- Likes the columns but suggested adding masonry or polished CMU to replace stacked stone
- Suggested not using box signs
- · Inquired about the lighting on building
- Likes cut sheet provided

### **Boardmember Carter**

- Agreed with Chair Banda not to use box signs and inquired if signage is intended to be within the box
- Suggested that a stipulation be that signage to remain within the box through Planning and Zoning Board

## Boardmember Thompson

- Suggested instead of stone veneer the use of decorative panel CMU which appears like ceramic tile but it's stone.
- Likes precast columns
- Likes building but suggested the change of the one material

Staff will work with applicant to finalize design.

## B. Call to Order

Chair Banda called the meeting to order at 6:27 pm.

# C. Consider the Minutes from the June 14, 2016 meeting

On a motion by Boardmember Thompson and seconded by Boardmember Sandstrom, the Board unanimously approved the June 14, 2016 minutes.

(Vote: 6-0, Absent, Boardmember Paul)

# D. <u>Adjournment</u>

On a motion by Boardmember Sandstrom and seconded by Boardmember Candland, the Meeting was adjourned at 6:33 pm.

(Vote: 6-0, Absent, Boardmember Paul)